

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/003,770	11/15/2001	R. Dennis Nesbitt	P-5915	4535	
24492	7590 06/30/2006		EXAMINER		
THE TOP-FLITE GOLF COMPANY, A WHOLLY OWNED SUBSIDIARY OF CALLAWAY GOLF COMPANY			GORDON, RAEANN		
	2180 RUTHERFORD ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LEGAL DEPT			3711		
CARLSBAD), CA 92008-7328		DATE MAILED: 06/30/2000	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/003,770	NESBITT, R. DENNIS					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Raeann Gorden	3711					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
 WHICHEVER Extensions of tir after SIX (6) MC If NO period for Failure to reply Any reply receiv 	ED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY R IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAME may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute and by the Office later than three months after the mailing form adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,				
Status								
1)⊠ Respor	nsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Ju	une 2006.						
2a)☐ This ac	a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☒ This action is non-final.							
3)☐ Since t	secution as to the	merits is						
closed	in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of C	laims							
4a) Of to 5)	s) <u>24-26</u> is/are pending in the application the above claim(s) is/are withdraws) is/are allowed. s) <u>24-26</u> is/are rejected. s) is/are objected to. s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.						
Application Pap	ers							
10) The dra Applicar Replace	ecification is objected to by the Examine wing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept that any objection to the ement drawing sheet(s) including the correct h or declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected to by the Examination.	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CF	• •				
Priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment(s)								
2) D Notice of Drafts	ences Cited (PTO-892) sperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) closure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) ail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	(PTO-413) ite atent Application (PTO	-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/003,770

Art Unit: 3711

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 24-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hiraoka et al (Re 35,293). Hiraoka discloses a golf ball comprising a core made from a polybutadiene (A). .Polybutadiene (A) may be commercially available BUNA CB 10 and other ingredients (col. 1, lines 52-67). According to applicant's spec page 24, table 5 BUNA CB 10 has a solution viscosity of 140 mPa*s and a Mooney viscosity of 45. The COR value is an inherent feature since the polybutadiene is identical to applicant's.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raeann Gorden whose telephone number is 571-272-4409. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon, Tues, Thurs, Fri 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eugene Kim can be reached on 571-272-4463. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/003,770 Page 3

Art Unit: 3711

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rg June 24, 2006

RAEANN GORDEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER